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Composite
How does the window hold and deal with the different items it has to manage?

Widgets are different that WidgetContainers
class Window {
    Buttons[] myButtons;
    Menus[] myMenus;
    TextArea[] myTextAreas;
    WidgetContainer[] myContainers;

    public void update() {
        if (myButtons != null)
            for (int k = 0; k < myButtons.length(); k++)
                myButtons[k].refresh();
        if (myMenus != null)
            for (int k = 0; k < myMenus.length(); k++)
                myMenus[k].display();
        if (myTextAreas != null)
            for (int k = 0; k < myButtons.length(); k++)
                myTextAreas[k].refresh();
        if (myContainers != null)
            for (int k = 0; k < myContainers.length(); k++)
                myContainers[k].updateElements();
    }

    public void fooOperation() {
        if (myButtons != null)
            etc.
    }
}
class Window {
    GUIWidgets[] myWidgets;
    WidgetContainer[] myContainers;

    public void update()
    {
        if ( myWidgets != null )
            for ( int k = 0; k < myWidgets.length(); k++ )
                myWidgets[k].update();
        if ( myContainers != null )
            for ( int k = 0; k < myContainers.length(); k++ )
                myContainers[k].updateElements();
        etc.
    }
}
Composite Pattern

Component
  WidgetOperation()
  Button
  Menu
  TextArea

WidgetContainer
  components
  ContainerOperation()
Composite Pattern

Component implements default behavior for widgets when possible

Button, Menu, etc overrides Component methods when needed

WidgetContainer will have to overrides all widgetOperations

class WidgetContainer {
    Component[] myComponents;

    public void update() {
        if ( myComponents != null )
            for ( int k = 0; k < myComponents.length(); k++ )
                myComponents[k].update();
    }
}
Issue - WidgetContainer Operations

Should the WidgetContainer operations be declared in Component?

**Pro - Transparency**
Declaring them in the Component gives all subclasses the same interface

All subclasses can be treated alike. (?)

**Con - Safety**
Declaring them in WidgetContainer is safer

Adding or removing widgets to non-WidgetContainers is an error

One out is to check the type of the object before using a WidgetContainer operation
**Issue - Parent References**

class WidgetContainer {
  Component[] myComponents;

  public void update() {
    if ( myComponents != null )
      for ( int k = 0; k < myComponents.length(); k++ )
        myComponents[k].update();
  }

  public add( Component aComponent ) {
    myComponents.append( aComponent );
    aComponent.setParent( this );
  }
}

class Button extends Component {
  private Component parent;
  public void setParent( Component myParent) {
    parent = myParent;
  }
}

etc.
More Issues

Should Component implement a list of Components?

The button etc. will have a useless data member

Child ordering is important in some cases

Who should delete components?
Applicability

Use Composite pattern when you want

To represent part-whole hierarchies of objects

Clients to be able to ignore the difference between compositions of objects and individual objects
Facade
## Size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Source Lines of Code (Millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F-22 Raptor US jet fighter</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boeing 787</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-class Mercedes-Benz radio &amp; navigation system</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mac OS 10.4</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premium class automobile</td>
<td>~100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debian 4.0</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Design Patterns text contains under 8,000 lines

The Facade Pattern

Create a class that is the interface to the subsystem

Clients interface with the Facade class to deal with the subsystem
Consequences of Facade Pattern

It hides the implementation of the subsystem from clients

It promotes weak coupling between the subsystems and its clients

It does not prevent clients from using subsystem classes directly, should it?

Facade does not add new functionality to the subsystem
Public versus Private Subsystem classes

Some classes of a subsystem are
  public
    facade
  private
Compiler Example

The VisualWorks Smalltalk compiler system has 75 classes

Programmers only use Compiler, which uses the other classes

Compiler evaluate: '100 factorial'

| method compiler |
method := 'reset
   "Resets the counter to zero"
   count := 0.'.

compiler := Compiler new.
compiler
   parse:method
   in: Counter
   notifying: nil
Flyweight
Flyweight

Use sharing to support large number of fine-grained objects efficiently
A document has many instances of the character 'a'

Character has
  Font
  width
  Height
  Ascenders
  Descenders
  Where it is in the document

Most of these are the same for all instances of 'a'

Use one object to represent all instances of 'a'
Java String Example

public void testInterned() {
    String a1 = "catrat";
    String a2 = "cat";
    assertFalse(a1 == (a2 + "rat");

    String a3 = (a2 + "rat").intern();
    assertTrue(a1 == a3);
    String a4 = "cat" + "rat";
    assertTrue(a1 == a4);
    assertTrue(a3 == a4);
}

public String intern()
    Returns a canonical representation for the string object.
    A pool of strings, initially empty, is maintained privately by the class String.
Intrinsic State

Information that is independent from the object's context

The information that can be shared among many objects

So can be stored inside of the flyweight
Extrinsic State

Information that is dependent on the object's context

The information that can not be shared among objects

So has to be stored outside of the flyweight
Structure

```
FlyweightFactory
  flyweight
  getFlyweight(key)

Flyweight
  operation(extrinsicState)

if (flyweight[key] exists)
  return existing flyweight
else
  create new flyweight
  add it to flyweight pool
  return new flyweight

ConcreteFlyweight
  operation(extrinsicState)
  intrinsicState

UnsharedConcreteFlyweight
  operation(extrinsicState)
  allState
```

Client
The Hard Part

Separating state from the flyweight

How easy is it to identify and remove extrinsic state

Will it save space to remove extrinsic state
Example Text

Run Arrays

aaaaabaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa

a b a
5 1 20
Text Example

Lexi Document Editor

Uses character objects with font information
(To support graphic elements)

"A Cat in the hat came **back** the very next day"

Use run array to store font information (extrinsic state)

Normal Bold Normal

22 4 18
Mediator
Mediator

A mediator controls and coordinates the interactions of a group of objects.
Participants

Mediator

Defines an interface for communicating with Colleague objects

ConcreteMediator

Implements cooperative behavior by coordinating Colleague objects

Knows and maintains its colleagues

Colleague classes

Each Colleague class knows its Mediator object

Each colleague communicates with its mediator whenever it would have otherwise communicated with another colleague
Motivating Example - Dialog Boxes

[Diagram showing relationships between objects such as aClient, aFontDialogDirector, aListBox, aButton, anEntryField, and aFontDialogDirector with arrows indicating direction of interaction.]
How does this differ from a God Class?
When to use the Mediator Pattern

When a set of objects communicate in a well-defined but complex ways

When reusing an object is difficult because it refers to and communicates with many other objects

When a behavior that's distributed between several classes should be customizable without a lot of subclassing
Classic Mediator Example
Simpler Example

![Login Dialog]

- User Name
- Password

[OK] [Cancel]
Non Mediator Solution

class OKButton extends Button {
    TextField password;
    TextField username;
    Database userData;
    Model application;

    protected void processEvent(AWTEvent e) {
        if (!e.isButtonPressed()) return;
        e.consume();
        if (password.getText() =="") {
            notifyUser("Must enter password");
            return;
        }
        if (username.getText() =="") {
            notifyUser("Must enter user name");
            return;
        }
        if (!userData.validUser(password.getText(), username.getText()))
            notifyUser("Invalid username & password");
        return;
    }
}
class LoginDialog extends Panel {
    TextField password;
    TextField username;
    Database userData;
    Button ok, cancel;

    protected void actionPerformed(ActionEvent e) {
        if (!e.isButtonPressed() or e.getSource() != ok) return;
        if (password.getText() = "") {
            notifyUser("Must enter password");
            return;
        }
        if (username.getText() = "") {
            notifyUser("Must enter user name");
            return;
        }
        if (!userData.validUser(password.getText(), username.getTest()))
            notifyUser("Invalid username & password");
        return;
    }
}
## What is Different?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non Mediator Example</th>
<th>Mediator Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Special Button class</td>
<td>No specialButton class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK button coupled to text fields</td>
<td>LoginDialog coupled to text fields</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Logic moved from button class to LoginDialog
But

Java's event mechanism promotes mediator solution