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**Memento**

Store an object's internal state, so the object can be restored to this state later without violating encapsulation

**Motivation**

Allow undo, rollbacks, etc.

**Structure**

Only originator:

- Can access Memento’s get/set state methods
- Create Memento
Applicability

Use when you:

• Need to save all or part of the state of an object and

• Do not wish to expose the saved state to the outside world
package Examples;

class Memento
{
    private Hashtable savedState = new Hashtable();

    protected Memento() {}; //Give some protection

    protected void setState( String stateName, Object stateValue )
    {
        savedState.put( stateName, stateValue );
    }

    protected Object getState( String stateName)
    {
        return savedState.get( stateName);
    }

    protected Object getState(String stateName, Object defaultValue )
    {
        if ( savedState.containsKey( stateName ) )
            return savedState.get( stateName);
        else
            return defaultValue;
    }
}
package Examples;
class ComplexObject
{
    private String name;
    private int someData;
    private Vector objectAsState = new Vector();

    public Memento createMemento()
    {
        Memento currentState = new Memento();
        currentState.setState( "name", name );
        currentState.setState( "someData", new Integer(someData) );
        currentState.setState( "objectAsState", objectAsState.clone() );
        return currentState;
    }

    public void restoreState( Memento oldState)
    {
        name = (String) oldState.getState( "name", name );
        objectAsState = (Vector) oldState.getState( "objectAsState" );
        Integer data = (Integer) oldState.getState( "someData" );
        someData = data.intValue();
    }
}
// Show a way to do incremental saves
public Memento setName(String aName)
{
    Memento deltaState = saveAState("name", name);
    name = aName;
    return deltaState;
}

public void setSomeData(int value)
{
    someData = value;
}

private Memento saveAState(String stateName, Object stateValue)
{
    Memento currentState = new Memento();
    currentState.setState(stateName, stateValue);
    return currentState;
}
Consequences/ Implementation
Simplifies Originator

You may be tempted to let the originator manage its state history

This adds to the complexity of the Originator

• How to store state history and for how long?

Using Mementos might be expensive

Copying state takes time and space

If this takes too much time/space pattern may not be appropriate

Preserve encapsulation boundaries

Give Memento two interfaces: wide and narrow

Let originator have access to all set/get/state of Memento

Let others only hold Mementos and destroy them
Defining Narrow and Wide Interfaces
C++

Make Memento's interface private

Make Originator a friend of the Memento

Class Memento {
public:
    virtual ~Memento();
private:
    friend class Originator;
    Memento();
    void setState(State*);
    State* getState();
...

Java

Use private nested/inner class to hide memento's interface

class ComplexObject {
    private String name;
    private int someData;

    public Memento createMemento() {
        return new Memento();
    }

    public void restoreState( Memento oldState) {
        oldState.restoreStateTo( this );
    }

    public class Memento {
        private String savedName;
        private int savedSomeData;

        private Memento() {
            savedName = name;
            savedSomeData = someData;
        }

        private void restoreStateTo(ComplexObject target) {
            target.name = savedName;
            target.someData = savedSomeData;
        }
    }
}

---

1 RestoreStateTo does not access the fields of the outer object in case one wants to restore the state to a different ComplexObject object. One may wish to use an nested class to avoid tangling the memento to the outer object.
Using Clone to Save State

One can wrap a clone of the Originator in a Memento or just return the clone as a type with no methods

```java
interface Memento extends Cloneable {
}

class ComplexObject implements Memento {
    private String name;
    private int someData;

    public Memento createMemento() {
        Memento myState = null;
        try {
            myState = (Memento) this.clone();
        }
        catch (CloneNotSupportedException notReachable) {
        }
        return myState;
    }

    public void restoreState( Memento savedState) {
        ComplexObject myNewState = (ComplexObject)savedState;
        name = myNewState.name;
        someData = myNewState.someData;
    }
}
```
Iterators & Mementos

Using a Memento we can allow multiple concurrent iterations

class IteratorState {
    int currentPosition = 0;

    protected IteratorState() {}

    protected int getPosition() { return currentPosition; }
    protected void advancePosition() { currentPosition++; }
}

class Vector {
    protected Object elementData[];
    protected int elementCount;

    public IteratorState newIteration() { return new IteratorState(); }

    public boolean hasMoreElements(IteratorState aState) {
        return aState.getPosition() < elementCount;
    }

    public Object nextElement(IteratorState aState) {
        if (hasMoreElements(aState)) {
            int currentPosition = aState.getPosition();
            aState.advancePosition();
            return elementData[currentPosition];
        }
        throw new NoSuchElementException("VectorIterator");
    }
    ...
}
Bridge

Decouple the abstraction from its implementation

This allows the implementation to vary from its abstraction

The abstraction defines and implements the interface

All operations in the abstraction call method(s) its implementation object
What is Wrong with Using an Interface?

Abstraction
  \( \text{operation}() \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ConcreteImplA</th>
<th>ConcreteImplB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{operation}() )</td>
<td>( \text{operation}() )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Make Abstraction a pure abstract class or Java interface

In client code:

Abstraction widget = new ConcreteImplA();
widget.operation();

This will separate the abstraction from the implementation

We can vary the implementation!
Applicability

Use the Bridge pattern when

• You want to avoid a permanent binding between an abstraction and its implementation

• Both the abstractions and their implementations should be independently extensible by subclassing

• Changes in the implementation of an abstraction should have no impact on the clients; that is, their code should not have to be recompiled

• You want to hide the implementation of an abstraction completely from clients (users)

• You want to share an implementation among multiple objects (reference counting), and this fact should be hidden from the client
Binding between abstraction & implementation

In the Bridge pattern:

• An abstraction can use different implementations

• An implementation can be used in different abstraction
Hide implementation from clients

Using just an interface the client can cheat!

```java
Abstraction widget = new ConcreteImplA();
widget.operation();
((ConcreteImplA) widget).concreteOperation();
```

In the Bridge pattern the client code can not access the implementation

Java AWT uses Bridge to prevent programmer from accessing platform specific implementations of interface widgets, etc.

Peer = implementation

```java
public synchronized void setCursor(Cursor cursor) {
    this.cursor = cursor;
    ComponentPeer peer = this.peer;
    if (peer != null) {
        peer.setCursor(cursor);
    }
}
```
Abstractions & Imps independently subclassable

Start with Widow interface and two implementations:

Now what do we do if we need some more types of windows: say IconWindow and DialogWindow?
Or using multiple inheritance
The Bridge pattern provides a cleaner solution

IconWindow and DialogWindow will add functionality to or modify existing functionality of Window

Methods in IconWindow and DialogWindow need to use the implementation methods to provide the new/modified functionality

This means that the WindowImp interface must provide the base functionality for window implementation

This does not mean that WindowImp interface must explicitly provide an iconifyWindow method
Share an implementation among multiple objects

Example use is creating smart pointers in C++

String contains a StringRep object
StringRep holds the text and reference count
String passes actual string operations to StringRep object
String handles pointer operations and deleting StringRep object when reference count reaches zero

```
String a( "cat");
String b( "dog");
String c( "mouse");
```

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>String</th>
<th>text</th>
<th>refCount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>cat</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

```
a = b;
```

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>String</th>
<th>text</th>
<th>refCount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>cat</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

```
a = c;
```

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>String</th>
<th>text</th>
<th>refCount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
class StringRep {
    friend String;

    private:
    char *text;
    int refCount;

    StringRep() { *(text = new char[1]) = '\0'; }

    StringRep( const StringRep& s ) { ::strcpy( text = new char[::strlen(s.text) + 1], s.text); }

    StringRep( const char *s) { ::strcpy( text = new char[::strlen(s) + 1], s); }

    StringRep( char** const *r) { text = *r; *r = 0; refCount = 1; }

    ~StringRep() { delete[] text; }

    int length() const { return ::strlen( text ); }

    void print() const { ::printf("%s\n", text); }
}
class String {
    friend StringRep

    public:
    String operator+(const String& add) const {
        return *imp + add;
    }
    StringRep* operator->() const { return imp; }
    String() { (imp = new StringRep()) -> refCount = 1; }
    String(const char* charStr) {
        (imp = new StringRep(charStr)) -> refCount = 1;
    }
    String operator=( const String& q) {
        (imp->refCount)--; 
        if (imp->refCount <= 0 &&
            imp != q.imp )
            delete imp;
        imp = q.imp;
        (imp->refCount)++;
        return *this;
    }
    ~String() {
        (imp->refCount)--;
        if (imp->refCount <= 0 ) delete imp;
    }

    private:
    String(char** r) {imp = new StringRep(r);} 
    StringRep *imp;
};
int main() {
    String a(“abcd”);
    String b(“efgh”);

    printf(“a is “);
    a->print();

    printf(“b is “);
    b->print();

    printf(“length of b is %d\n”, b->length());

    printf(“a + b “);
    (a+b)->print();
}

Façade

Compiler Example

The VisualWorks Smalltalk compiler system has 75 classes

Programmers only use Compiler, which uses the other classes

Compiler evaluate: '100 factorial'

```smalltalk
| method compiler |
method := 'reset
   "Resets the counter to zero"
   count := 0.'.
```

```smalltalk
compiler := Compiler new.
compiler
   parse:method
   in: Counter
   notifying: nil
```
Distributed Object Systems

Client Machine

Application

Employee Proxies

Employees

Server Machine

Facade

Facade Proxy

Application

Employees
Subsystems

Subsystems are groups of classes, or groups of classes and other subsystems, that collaborate among themselves to support a set of contracts.

There is no conceptual difference between the responsibilities of a class and a subsystem of classes.

The difference between a class and subsystem of classes is a matter of scale.

A subsystem should be a good abstraction.

There should be as little communication between different subsystems as possible.
The Facade Pattern - Basic Idea

Create a class that is the interface to the subsystem

Clients interface with the Facade class to deal with the subsystem

Consequences of Facade Pattern

It hides the implementation of the subsystem from clients

It promotes weak coupling between the subsystems and its clients

It does not prevent clients from using subsystem classes directly, should it?

Facade does not add new functionality to the subsystem