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## Neural Networks

All you really need to know for the moment is that the universe is a lot more complicated than you might think, even if you start from a position of thinking it's pretty damn complicated in the first place.
--- Douglas Adams, Hitchhikers Guide to the Universe

## Example

| Apples | Oranges | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 9 | 4 | 16 |
| 4 | 8 | 10.5 |

Find $w(a)$ and $w(o)$
let $w(a)=$ cost of apple
$\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{a})=$ number of apples
$\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{o})=$ cost of orange
$\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{o})=$ number of oranges
$t=$ transaction fee

Total Cost $=\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{a})^{*} \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{a})+\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{o})^{*} \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{o})+\mathrm{t}$

| Apples | Oranges | Total Cost | Guess |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3 | 5 | 2.5 |
| 9 | 4 | 16 | 6.5 |
| 4 | 8 | 10.5 | 6 |

w(a) - guess 0.5
w(o) - guess 0.5
t - guess 0
Too low

| Apples | Oranges | Total Cost | Guess |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 |
| 9 | 4 | 16 | 14 |
| 4 | 8 | 10.5 | 13 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w(a)-\text { guess } 1 \\
& w(o)-\text { guess } 1 \\
& t-\text { guess } 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Too high in two cases

| Apples | Oranges | Total Cost | Guess |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3 | 5 | 4.5 |
| 9 | 4 | 16 | 10.5 |
| 4 | 8 | 10.5 | 9.75 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w(a)-\text { guess } 0.75 \\
& w(o)-\text { guess } 0.75 \\
& t \text { - guess } 0.75
\end{aligned}
$$

Too low

## Need

Measure of how far off guess is from data

Systematic way to change weights

## Loss Function

Measure of how the data differs from estimate

Linear case

$$
\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{W}, \boldsymbol{b})=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\hat{Y}_{i}-Y_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

$Y_{i}=$ data value
$Y_{i_{-}}$hat $=$computed value

## Activation Function

Function that we are trying to fit

In example linear function with two independent variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x 1, x 2) & =a^{*} x 1+b^{*} x 2+c \\
& =w 1^{*} x 1+w 2^{*} x 2+b
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{w} 1, \mathrm{w} 1$ are the weights
$b$ is the bias

## Bias

Prejudice in favor of one thing

Positive values being for Negative values being against

Consider $\mathrm{x}=0$ neutral input

Then if f is neutral function $f(0)==0$
$f(x 1, x 2)=w 1^{*} x 1+w 2^{*} x 2+b$
$f(0,0)=b$

So f has a bias


Pick x1

Find the slope at $f(x 1)$ ie take derivative

Use slope to estimate where $f(x)$ is zero $=x 2$
Repeat process until $f(x n)$ is really close to 0

## Gradient Descent

gradient is the derivative of multi-dimensional function


## Systematic way to change weights

$$
f(x 1, x 2)=w 1^{*} x 1+w 2^{*} x 2+b
$$

Take derivative of activation function get gradient

Use the slope in the x 1 dimension to adjust w1

Use the slope in the $x 2$ dimension to adjust w2

How far to go?


## Learning Rate

To avoid overshooting multiply the gradient by a factor - say 0.1

This is called the learning rate

Take derivative of activation function get gradient

Use the slope in the x1 dimension * learning rate to adjust w1

Use the slope in the $x 2$ dimension * learning rate to adjust w2

## Terms

Loss Function

Activation Function

Learning Rate

Weights

Bias

## Basic Algorithm

$f(x 1, x 2)=w 1^{*} x 1+w 2^{*} x 2+b$

Select initial values for w1, w2, b

1. Compute loss function on data to find the error
2. Update $w 1, w 2, b$

Take derivative of activation function get gradient $\mathrm{w} 1=\mathrm{w} 1+$ the slope in the x 1 dimension * learning rate * Error $\mathrm{w} 2=\mathrm{w} 2+$ the slope in the $x 2$ dimension * learning rate * Error $b=b+$ gradient * learning rate *Error

Repeat $1 \& 2$ until error is acceptable

## Learning Rate

If too small then take too long for result to converge

If too large then algorithm will jump arround too much and not converge

## Basic Structure of Neuron



## Knet.jl

Deep learning framework

Developed at in Koç University in Turkey

Hides some complexity
Can use GPU

Define
activation (predict) function
loss function

Then train the data

## Linear Knet Example

```
using Knet
activation(w,x) = w[1]*x .+ w[2]
loss(w,x,y) = sumabs2(y - activation(w,x))/ size(y,2)
lossgradient = grad(loss) # grad computed gradient
```

function train(w, data; learning_rate=.1)
for ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) in data
dw = lossgradient(w, x, y)
for $i$ in 1 :length $(w)$
w[i] -= learning_rate * dw[i]
end
end
end
$x=\operatorname{rand}(10)$
$y=2 . * x .+3 \quad$ \#exact model so we know
$x=x^{\prime}$
$y=y^{\prime}$
$w=[2.5,3.5]$
for $i$ in $1: 20$
train $(w,[(x, y)]$, learning_rate $=0.1)$
println(loss(w,x,y))
end

Loss value
w:
2.09855

First 0.34

Last 0.001
2.94161

## Varying Learning Rate

| Learning rate 0.01 | Loss value | w: |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | First 0.43 | 2.45 |
|  | Last 0.26 | 3.29 |
| Learning rate 0.1 | Loss value |  |
|  |  | w: |
|  | First 0.34 | 2.10 |
|  | Last 0.001 | 2.94 |
|  |  |  |
| Learning rate 1.0 | Loss value |  |
|  |  | w: |
|  | First 0.83 | 53.0 |
|  | Last 21299.5 | 129 |

## Varying Starting Point

Learning rate 0.01
$w=[0.0,0.0]$

| Loss value | w: |
| :--- | :--- |
| First 9.1 | 1.76 |
| Last 0.005 | 3.12 |

Learning rate 0.01
$w=[-10.0,-10.0]$
Loss value
w:
First 208 -1.01
Last $0.76 \quad 4.56$

Learning rate 0.01
$\mathrm{w}=$ [10.0, -10.0]
Loss value
First 52
w:
Last 6.76
10.9
-1.81

## Neural Networks Parameters

Input weights

Learning Rate

## Linear Neurons - Perceptrons

Linear neurons even when combined have limited use

Need more types of neurons
Each type needs gradient function \& loss function

Layers of neurons

## Types of Neurons/Activation Functions

Sigmoid

$$
f(z)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}
$$



Tanh


## Restricted Linear Unit (ReLU)



## Softmax

$\operatorname{softmax\_ norm}(x)=1 . /(1+\exp (-(x-\operatorname{mean}(x)) / \operatorname{std}(x)))$

Recall from clustering

Often used as output neuron

## Loss functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{W}, \boldsymbol{b})=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M}\left(\log \hat{y_{i j}}-\log y_{i j}\right)^{2} \\
& \mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{W}, \boldsymbol{b})=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \max \left(0,1-y_{i j} \times \hat{y_{i j}}\right) \\
& \mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{W}, \boldsymbol{b})=-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} y_{i j} \times \log \hat{y}_{i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

mean square log error MSLE

Hinge loss
Binary classification

Logisitic loss

## Neural Networks Parameters

Input weights

Learning Rate

Loss function

Activation function

## Layers

Even with different types of neurons single neurons are not very useful

Create layers of neurons


## One neuron



## Forward Propagation

Input data goes to input layer
Each neuron passes its ouput to the next layer
Below is a fully connected neural network


## Backpropagation

How to adjust weights for each neuron?

Adjust the weights of the last layer as before

Using these weights we can compute what the inputs to last layer should be

We can now use those estimates to adjust the previous layers weights


## Neural Networks Parameters

Input weights per neuron

Learning rate per neuron

Loss function per neuron

Activation function per neuron

Number of layers

Number of neurons per layer

How neurons are connected

## Overfitting



## Hyperparameters

Things we can change to make neural networks train better

Learning Rate
Activation functions
Weight initalization strategies
Loss functions
Normalization
Layer size \& number of layers
mini-batch size
Regularization
Momentum
Sparsity

## Work Flow

Full Dataset:

| Training Data | Validation <br> Data | Test <br> Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |



## Input

Need to map input into vector


## Images \& Scaling

Image of 32 pixels by 32 pixels with 3 color channels (RGB)

Fully connected neuron needs $32 * 32 * 3=3,072$ weights

Image of 200 pixels by 200 pixels with 3 color channels (RGB)

Fully connected neuron needs $200 * 200 * 3=120,000$ weights

Image researchers use up to 150 layers

## Deep Learning

More neurons than previous networks More complex ways of connecting layers Explosion of computing power to train Automatic feature extraction

Some Deep Learning Networks

Unsupervised Pre-Trained Networks
Convolutional Neural Networks
Common for image Analysis
Recurrent Neural Networks
Time series analysis
Recursive Neural Networks

## Convolutional Neural Network

Convolutional Layer
3-D network of neurons
Only locally connected
Each 2-D slice in depth share same weight


Pooling Layer
Down-sampling layer



## Hello World of Deep Learning

Mixed National Institute of Standards \& Technology database of handwritten digits 60,000 training images
Normalized to $20 \times 20$ pixels with grayscale

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 000000000000000 \\
& 11111111111111 \\
& 222222222222220 \\
& 333333333333333 \\
& 444444444444444 \\
& 555555555555555 \\
& 666666666666666 \\
& 77777777777777 \\
& 888888888888888 \\
& 999999999999999
\end{aligned}
$$

## Different Methods with Error Rate

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

